

Design of FRP-Profiles and All-FRP-Structures

ETHZ Course No. 101-0167-01

Prof. Dr. Moslem Shahverdi

Leader of “Advanced Structural Materials” at Empa Structural laboratory
Empa , Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology

Überlandstrasse 129, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

Tel +41 58 765 4382, email: moslem.shahverdi@empa.ch

References:

- Bank, L. “Composites for Construction - Structural Design with FRP Materials,” John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2006. (*Chapters 12 - 15*)
- Fiberline. “Fiberline Design Manual,” www.fiberline.dk, 2003.
- Clarke, JL. (Ed.) “Structural Design of Polymer Composites - EUROCOMP Design Code and Handbook,” E & FN Spon, 1996.
- Shahverdi, M., “Mixed-mode static and fatigue failure criteria for adhesively-bonded FRP joints”. PhD Thesis, EPFL, Switzerland, 2013.

Outline

- **Introduction**

(Pro's and con's of FRP / Examples)

- **Materials**

(Manufacturing process / Materials / Durability)

- **Design Concept**

(Concept / Basic assumptions / ...)

- **Bending Beam**

(Timoshenko theory / Stresses / Deformations / Buckling ...)

- **Axial Members**

(Serviceability and ultimate limit states)

- **Connections**

(Bolted joints / Glued joints)

Introduction

Introduction: Pro's and con's

■ Pro's

- High **specific strength**:

Material	CFRP	GFRP	Steel S500
$l_{\max} = \frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\rho \cdot g}$	138.4 km	27.8 km	6.4 km

- Good **in-plane** mechanical properties
- High **fatigue** and **environmental** resistance
- **Adjustable** mechanical properties
- **Lightweight**-> ease of handling, small additional load...
- **Quick** assembly / erection
- Low **maintenance**
- Highly **cost-effective** (2-10 €/kg)

Introduction: Pro's and con's


■ Con's

- **Brittle**
- High initial **costs**
- Low to moderate application **temperature** (-20 up to 80 °C)
- Low **fire** resistance (sometimes with unhealthy gases)


Introduction: Common profiles

■ Structural profiles

- Most structural profiles produced in **conventional profile** shapes similar to metallic materials
- Similarity in geom. and properties, however **no standard** geom., mechanical and physical properties used by all manufacturers



Structural profiles



Non-structural profiles

Introduction: Examples

■ Footbridges



Pontresina bridge, Switzerland

1997

Span: 2 x 12.5 m

Weight: 3.3 tons (installation by helicopter)

<http://fiberline.com/pontresina-bridge-switzerland>

Fiberline Bridge in Kolding, DK

1997

The bridge was installed during 18 hours over 3 nights

Span: 40 m

Cost: 0.5 mio CHF

Only Fiberline standard profiles used

<http://fiberline.com/fiberline-bridge-kolding>



Introduction: Examples

■ Footbridges



Composite pedestrian bridge in Lleida, Spain

Span: 38 m


Width: 3.0 m

<http://fiberline.com/international-award-innovative-grp-footbridge>


“GRP does not conduct electricity, which is also very important as it means there is **no magnetic interference** with the electrified railway,” continues Mr. Sobrino.

Introduction: Examples (Avançon Bridge, Switzerland, 2012)

■ Road bridges



Cross section of new two-lane bridge (dimensions in [mm])



Longitudinal section of new bridge (dimensions in mm) [Prof. Keller]

Bridge details

Location: Bex, Suisse

Installed on: 12th October, 2012

Dimensions: 12m x 7m (9 tons)

Introduction: Examples

■ **Bridgedeck** (Footbridges)



Würenlos, Switzerland



Loopersteg, Switzerland

Introduction: Examples

■ Buildings



**Eyecatcher Building, Basel, Switzerland
1998**

Height: 15 m
Storeys: 5

<http://www.fiberline.com/gb/casestories/case1835.asp>

Project: Maagtechnic

Introduction: Examples

■ Laboratory bridge

Empa **Laboratory Bridge**, Switzerland

Span: 19 m

Width: 1.6 m

Load capacity: 15 tons



Introduction: Examples

■ Noise barrier SBB




Göschenen, Switzerland

Project: Maagtechnic



Introduction: Examples

■ **Balconies**




Switzerland

Project: Maagtechnic

Introduction: Examples

bogie



Summary of the name and material of each part shown in Fig

Number	Name	Material
1	Side bearer	Polyurethane, nylon and rubber
2	Upper bogie frame	Glass fibre reinforced epoxy
3	Lower bogie transom	Glass fibre reinforced epoxy
4	Central pivot point	Steel, rubber and polyurethane
5	Calliper	Steel, rubber and brake pads
6	Wheel	Steel
7	Axlebox	Steel, rubber and polyurethane
8	Brake disc	Steel
9	Axle	Steel
10	Bogie frame bearer	Rubber, polyurethane
11	Lower bogie frame	Glass fibre reinforced epoxy
12	Axle tie	Glass fibre reinforced epoxy


Hou, J. and G. Jeronimidis, A novel bogie design made of glass fibre reinforced plastic. *Materials & Design*, 2012. 37: p. 1-7.

Introduction: Examples

bogie



- Metallic coil spring are replaced by FRP leaf springs, i.e. suspension system is integrated to the FRP frame

Standard Y25 Bogie



Primary suspension inner and outer coil springs

Hybrid GFRP bogie



Introduction: Application

- Applications where GFRP structures are competitive:
 - Significant **corrosion** and **chemical** resistance is required
(Food and chemical processing plants, cooling towers, offshore platforms ...)
 - **Electromagnetic** transparency or **electrical** insulation is required.
 - **Light-weight** is cost essential
(fast deployment ...)
 - **Prestige** and **demonstration objects**
(e.g. Novartis Campus Entrance Building)




Photo: Prof. Th. Keller, EPFL

Material

Material: Pultrusion process

- Only pultruded GFRP profiles will be considered in this lecture



Pultrusion line

- Production of profiles with constant cross-section along the length
- High quality
- Continuous longitudinal fiber bundles and filament mats

Pultrusion process

Take from [<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aXq1hrzne2k>]



Material: Components

■ Pultruded profiles contain three primary components:

■ Reinforcement


■ Matrix

polyester
epoxy
phenol


■ Supplementary constituents

polymerisation agents
fillers
additives


Types of roving



Unidirectional




Spun




Mock


Types of mat




Continuous mat
Random fibre orientation



Weave
0°/90°






Complex mat
0°/90° membrane + random
fibre orientation



Bidirectional complex
mat
0°/±45°/90° weave + random
fibre orientation

Material: Shapes of pultruded profiles

■ Available Profiles on Stock:

								
Name	Tubes	Flat - Profiles	Square Tubes	T - Profile	Angle	U / UL - Profile	I / IL - Profile	Plank
Dimensions [mm]	Ra = 37.5 / 45 T = 5	B = 30 - 1220 H = 6 - 12	H = 50 - 240 T = 5 - 12	H = 60 / 90 B = 60 / 72 T = 6 / 10	H = 50 - 150 B = 50 - 150 T = 6 - 12	H = 120 - 360 B = 60 - 180 T = 6 - 18	H = 120 - 360 B = 60 - 180 T = 6 - 18	B = 500 H = 40

Length up to 12 m (for transportation reasons)!

- Special cross-sections can be **designed** and ordered (several kilometres are necessary → special tools have to be designed)


Material: Durability

- **Various environmental and load conditions that affect durability of (G)FRPs in terms of strength, stiffness, fiber/matrix interface integrity, cracking:**
 - **water/sea water**
 - **chemical solutions**
 - **prolonged freezing**
 - **thermal cycling** (freeze-thaw)
 - **elevated** temperature exposure
 - **UV** radiation
 - **creep and relaxation**
 - **fatigue**
 - **fire...**

Design Concept

Design Concept: Basic Assumptions

■ Definitions and directions



Design Concept

■ **Codes**

- **Every manufacturer has its own profile design → No European Design Code is available!** (only EN13706, about testing and notation)
- **There exists European guidelines: EUROCOMP 1996 Design Code
EUROCOMP 1996 Handbook**
- **Fiberline Design Manual is based on Eurocomp 1996.**
 - Design **concept** (according to Eurocodes and Swisscodes)
 - Partial **safety factors**
 - Measured **material parameters**
 - Rules for bolted **connections**

Design Concept

- **Concept of Limit State Design** (According to Euro Codes and Swiss Codes)
- **Ultimate limit stress**

$$E_d \leq R_d$$

E_d ... Calculated stress (including load factors) ... SIA260 / 261

R_d ... Rated value of the resistance capability

where $R_d = \frac{R_k}{\gamma_m}$

R_k ... the resistance capability

γ_m ... the reduction coefficient / partial safety factor

Design Concept


- **Partial safety factor** $\gamma_m = \gamma_{m,1} \cdot \gamma_{m,2} \cdot \gamma_{m,3} \cdot \gamma_{m,4}$

Coefficient	Description	Max. γ_m	Min. γ_m	Fiberline
$\gamma_{m,1}$	Derivation of mat. properties	2.25	1.15	1.15
$\gamma_{m,2}$	Degree of postcuring	1.6	1.1	1.1
$\gamma_{m,3}$	Production process	2.0	1.0	1.0
$\gamma_{m,4}$	Operating temperature			

Operating temperature °C	$\gamma_{m,4}$	
	Short-term load	Long-term load
-20 ... +60	1.0	2.5
80	1.25	3.13

Design Concept: Basic Assumptions

■ Material Properties, strength values (Fiberline Profiles)



All values given in [MPa]		Typical strength values	Reduced strength values	
			short-term	long-term
Flexural strength, 0°	$f_{b,0^\circ}$	240	185	75
Flexural strength, 90°	$f_{b,90^\circ}$	100	75	30
Tensile strength, 0°	$f_{t,0^\circ}$	240	185	75
Tensile strength, 90°	$f_{t,90^\circ}$	50	40	15
Compressive strength, 0°	$f_{c,0^\circ}$	240	185	75
Compressive strength, 90°	$f_{c,90^\circ}$	70	55	20
Shear strength (in-plane)	f_τ	25	20	8

Design Concept

■ **Serviceability limit states**


$$E_d \leq C_d$$

E_d ... the crucial action effect due to the load cases considered in the investigated dimensioning situation. Typically maximal deflection response of the structure.

C_d ... corresponding serviceability limit. SIA 261

Design Concept: Basic Assumptions

■ Typical data sheet of a profile (Fiberline I-Profile)



I-profile	H	B	T_1	T_2	R	A	$A_{k,y}$	$A_{k,x}$	g	I_{xx}	W_{xx}	I_{yy}	W_{yy}	E_{0°	$E_{0^\circ} \cdot I_{xx}$
HxBxT ¹⁾	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm ²	mm ²	mm ²	kg/m	mm ⁴	mm ³	mm ⁴	mm ³	MPa	Nmm ²
factor	1	1	1	1	1	10 ⁻³	10 ³	10 ³	1	10 ⁶	10 ³	10 ⁶	10 ³	10 ³	10 ⁹
I 120x60x6	120	60	6	6	7.5	1.42	0.68	0.58	2.55	3.10	51.7	0.22	7.30	23	71.30
I 160x80x8	160	80	8	8	8	2.49	1.22	1.02	4.48	9.66	121	0.69	17.3	28	270.5
I 200x100x10	200	100	10	10	10	3.89	1.90	1.60	6.99	23.6	236	1.69	33.7	28	660.8
I 240x120x12	240	120	12	12	12	5.60	2.74	2.30	10.1	48.9	408	3.50	58.3	28	1369
I 300x150x15	300	150	15	15	15	8.74	4.28	3.60	15.7	119	796	8.54	114	28	3332
I 360x180x18	360	180	18	18	18	12.6	6.16	5.18	22.7	248	1376	17.7	197	28	6944

Bending Beam

Bending Beam: Design of ...


- Calculate **bending moments M_d** and **shear forces Q_d** acting on the profile, using the appropriate load factors (SIA 260 / 261)

- **Ultimate limit state**

- **Bending:**
$$\sigma_{\max} = \frac{M_{d,y,\max}}{W_y} \left(+ \frac{M_{d,z,\max}}{W_z} \right) \leq \frac{f_{b,0^\circ}}{\gamma_m}$$

- **Shear:**
$$\tau_{\max} = \frac{Q_{d,y,\max}}{A_{k,y}} \leq \frac{f_\tau}{\gamma_m}$$

A_k ... relevant shear area



Bending Beam: Design of ...

- **Serviceability limit state**

- **Deflection limit:** $\frac{w_{\max}}{L} < \frac{1}{\alpha}$

α ... typically selected between 200 and 400
given by SIA 261 or the building owner


$w_{\max}$... calculated including shear deformations

- **Vibrations**

Light-weighted and 'soft' structures are susceptible to vibrations (traffic, wind, the movement of people ...)!!


Bending Beam: Timoshenko Theory

- Pultruded profiles have a low shear modulus → shear deformation must be taken into account!
- Several bending theories have been published for beams:
 - Euler-Bernoulli theory (1702)
 - **Timoshenko** theory (1968)
 - Higher order beam theory
- A simply supported beam with a symmetric cross-section is discussed



Bending Beam: Timoshenko Theory

Euler- Bernoulli




Cross-sections plane and perpendicular

1 degree of freedom

w

Timoshenko




Cross-sections plane but **NOT** perpendicular

2 degrees of freedom

w and ψ

Higher order



Cross-sections do **NOT** remain plane

3+ degrees of freedom

w , ψ and ...

Bending Beam: Euler vs. Timoshenko Theory

Kinematic relationships

$$u_x = -y \cdot w(x)_{,x}$$
$$u_y = w(x)$$

$$u_x = -y \cdot \psi(x)$$
$$u_y = w(x)$$

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} = -y \cdot w(x)_{,xx}$$

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} = -y \cdot \psi(x)_{,x}$$

$$2\varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$2\varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} = -\psi(x) + w(x)_{,x}$$

Hook's law

$$\sigma_x = E_{0^\circ} \cdot \varepsilon_x \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{xy} = G \cdot 2\varepsilon_{xy}$$

$$M_z = \iint_{QS} -y \cdot \sigma_x \cdot dydz = w_{,xx} \cdot E_{0^\circ} \cdot I_z$$

$$M_z = \iint_{QS} -y \cdot \sigma_x \cdot dydz = \psi_{,x} \cdot E_{0^\circ} \cdot I_z$$

$$Q_y = \kappa \iint_{QS} \tau \cdot dydz = (w_{,x} - \psi) \cdot \kappa \cdot GA$$

Bending Beam: Euler vs. Timoshenko Theory

Equilibrium

In a first approximation, the deflections are calculated by direct integration of:

$$w_{,xx} = \frac{M(x)}{E_{0^0} \cdot I_z}$$

Equilibrium on an infinitesimal beam element:

$$q(x) = -Q_{,x} = -(w_{,xx} - \psi_{,x}) \cdot \kappa \cdot GA$$

$$M_{,x} - Q = \psi_{,xx} \cdot E_{0^0} I_z + (w_{,x} - \psi) \cdot \kappa \cdot GA = 0$$

Coupled second order differential equation

Solution for the simply supported beam (distributed load)

$$M(x) = \frac{1}{2} qLx - \frac{1}{2} qx^2, \quad w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w(L) = 0$$

$$w_{,xx} = \frac{1}{E_{0^0} \cdot I_z} \left(\frac{1}{2} qLx - \frac{1}{2} qx^2 \right)$$

$$w(x) = \frac{qx}{24 \cdot E_{0^0} \cdot I_z} \cdot (L^3 - 2Lx^2 + x^3)$$

$$w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w(L) = 0$$

$$M(0) = 0 \rightarrow \psi_{,x}(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M(L) = 0 \rightarrow \psi_{,x}(L) = 0$$

Functions:

$$w(x) = A_1 x^4 + A_2 x^3 + A_3 x^2 + A_4 x + A_5$$

$$\psi(x) = B_1 x^3 + B_2 x^2 + B_3 x + B_4$$

Bending Beam: Euler vs. Timoshenko Theory

Put in $\psi_{,xx} \cdot E_0 I_z + (w_{,x} - \psi) \cdot \kappa \cdot GA = 0$ and solve for the coefficients $\rightarrow$

$$B_1 = -4A_1 \quad , \quad B_3 = -2A_3 - \frac{24A_1 \cdot E_0 I_z}{\kappa \cdot GA}$$

$$B_2 = -3A_2 \quad , \quad B_4 = -\frac{6A_2 \cdot E_0 I_z}{\kappa \cdot GA} - A_4$$

Use the boundary conditions and the second differential eq. to calculate $A_1 - A_5$:

$$w(x) = \frac{qx(L-x)}{2 \cdot \kappa \cdot GA} + \frac{qx(L-x)(L^2 + Lx - x^2)}{24 \cdot E_0 I_z}$$

Deflection at midspan

$$w\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) = \frac{5 \cdot qL^4}{384 \cdot E_0 I_z}$$

$$w\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) = \frac{5 \cdot qL^4}{384 \cdot E_0 I_z} + \frac{q \cdot L^2}{8 \cdot \kappa \cdot GA}$$

Bending Beam: Euler vs. Timoshenko Theory

- General expression for the total beam deflection as a sum of the deflection due to bending and shear:

$$w(x) = \frac{f_1(x)}{E_0 I_z} + \frac{f_2(x)}{\kappa \cdot GA}$$

Beam	$f_1(w_{\max})$	$f_2(w_{\max})$	$x(w_{\max})$
Simply supported			
Uniformly distr. load (q)	$\frac{5 \cdot qL^4}{384}$	$\frac{qL^2}{8}$	$\frac{L}{2}$
Concentrated load (P)	$\frac{PL^3}{48}$	$\frac{PL}{4}$	$\frac{L}{2}$
Cantilever beam			
Uniformly distr. load (q)	$\frac{qL^4}{8}$	$\frac{qL^2}{2}$	L
Concentrated load (P)	$\frac{PL^3}{3}$	PL	L

Bending Beam: Euler vs. Timoshenko Theory


- **Example:** influence of the shear deformation

Profile: 300 x 150 mm I-beam

Load: uniformly distributed

General rule of thumb for slender Beams:

**for GFRP beams with span/depth > 25
shear deformation can be ignored**



Bending Beam: Example


- Choose an appropriate Profile for the following specifications

$$L = 3.0 \text{ m}$$

$$q_{d,uls} = 13 \text{ kN/m}$$

$$q_{d,ser} = 10 \text{ kN/m}$$

$$w_{\max}/L = 1/300 \rightarrow w_{\max} = 0.01 \text{ m}$$



1. Deflections and loading

$$w_{\max} = \frac{5 \cdot q_{d,ser} L^4}{384 \cdot E_0 I_z} + \frac{q_{d,ser} \cdot L^2}{8 \cdot \kappa \cdot GA}$$

$$\sigma_{\max} = \frac{q_{d,uls} L^2}{8} \cdot \frac{h}{2 \cdot I_z}$$

$$\tau_{\max} = \frac{q_{d,uls} L}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{A_{k,y}}$$

Bending Beam: Example

2. Find a profile with sufficient bending stiffness (SLS).

Shear deformations are neglected in a first step:

$$E_{0^\circ} I_z \geq \frac{5 \cdot q_{d,ser} L^4}{384 \cdot w_{max}} = 1.054 \cdot 10^6 \text{ Nm}^2$$

→ from specification table: choose $I_{240 \times 120 \times 12} \rightarrow E_{0^\circ} I_z = 1.369 \cdot 10^6 \text{ Nm}^2$

3. Check the bending and shear stresses (ULS)

$$\sigma_{max} = \frac{q_{d,uls} L^2}{8} \cdot \frac{h}{2 \cdot I_z} = 35.8 \text{ MPa} \quad \leq f_{b,0^\circ,d} = 185 \text{ MPa} \quad (\text{short term})$$

$$\tau_{max} = \frac{q_{d,uls} L}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{A_{k,y}} = 7.1 \text{ MPa} \quad \leq f_{\tau,d} = 20 \text{ MPa} \quad (\text{short term})$$

Do not forget to check also the long term!

Bending Beam: Example

4. Check deflection (including shear deformation)

$$w_{\max} = \frac{5 \cdot q_{d,ser} L^4}{384 \cdot E_{0^\circ} I_z} + \frac{q_{d,ser} \cdot L^2}{8 \cdot \kappa \cdot GA} = 9.3 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m} \leq w_{\max} = 0.01 \text{ m}$$

(7.7 mm) (1.6 mm) ($\kappa=0.42$)

$$w_{\max} = \frac{5 \cdot q_{d,ser} L^4}{384 \cdot E_{0^\circ} I_z} + \frac{q_{d,ser} \cdot L^2}{8 \cdot GA_{web}} = 9.1 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}$$




5. Remarks:

Simplification: use area of the web (conventionally manufactured GFRP I and U-profiles)

- The design of **GFRP**-profiles is mostly driven by serviceability criteria.
- Start the design iteration procedure using the maximal deflection criterion.

Bending Beam: Stability problems

■ Lateral-torsional buckling



- Flange (compressive) displace laterally to the transverse load direction.
- Torsional stiffness is too low (especially for open section profiles)
- Theoretical calculations or design measures.
→ see e.g. *L.P. Kollár 2003, Mechanics of composite structures.*


■ Example:




Compressive flanges are kept in place by connection to the bridge deck.

Bending Beam: Stability problems

- **Local buckling of walls due to in-plane compression**



- Flange (compressive) displaces in the direction of the transverse load.
- Low bending stiffness perpendicular to the pultrusion direction.
- Weak fiber mats.



- **Local buckling of walls due to in-plane shear**
- **Web crushing and web buckling in transverse direction**

Axial Members

Axial Members: Tension

- **Ultimate limit state under axial tension N_d**

$$\frac{N_d}{A} \leq \frac{f_{t,0^\circ}}{\gamma_m}$$

A can be either gross or net area

- **Serviceability limit state**

$$\delta_x = \frac{N \cdot L}{E_{0^\circ} \cdot A}$$

A = gross area

- **Remark:** The critical aspect of axial members in tension are neither the serviceability nor the ultimate limit state. Critical is the **load transfer** to the GFRP profile!

Axial Members: Compression

- Ultimate limit state under axial compression N_d

$$N_d \leq \frac{F_c}{1 + \frac{F_c}{N_{\text{Euler}}}}$$

$$F_c = \frac{A \cdot f_{c,0^\circ}}{\gamma_m} \quad \dots \text{maximal compressive load}$$


$$N_{\text{Euler}} = \frac{\pi^2 \cdot E_{0^\circ} \cdot I}{\gamma_{m,E} \cdot L_k^2} \quad \dots \text{Euler load}$$

L_k ... Buckling length for columns


$\gamma_{m,E}$... coefficient for Young's modulus = **1.3**

Axial Members: Compression

- The influence of shear deformation should be considered, but in the most cases, the influence will be small (less than 5%).
- **Local buckling** should be considered for short columns.
- For more information on the various buckling modes and effects
→ see *L.P. Kollár 2003, Mechanics of composite structures*



Global buckling




Local buckling


Connections

Joints in FRP composite structures

Eyecatcher Building: a mobile lightweight five-story GFRP building, Switzerland, 1998



Adhesively-bonded sections built up from pultruded profiles





Three GFRP frames composed of adhesively-bonded built-up sections as the main load-bearing structure

Bolted joints

Joints in FRP composite structures

FRP bridge deck panels

[Thesis Dr. Gürtler, CCLab 2004]



Overview of the experimental set-up




Failure of adhesive bond

Connections: Bolted joints


- Bolts = Stress concentration in the profile and the bolt.
- It is necessary to ensure that the bolts and the profile can withstand this concentrated local stress compression.
- It is necessary to ensure that the region surrounding a group of bolts will not be torn out of the profile.
- Basic failure modes in bolted shear connections:




Prof. Keller, EPFL




net-section failure



bearing failure




shear-out failure



bolt shear failure

Connections: Bolted joints

- The design procedure is comparable to the one for steel connections, but since there exist no standard GFRP material → each manufacturer has its own design rules for bolted joints.
- **IMPORTANT REMARKS:**
 - **The direction of pultrusion and the direction of the force is RELEVANT!!!**
(anisotropic material)
 - **Use stainless or galvanised steel**
 - **Do not cut threads in the composite material!**
 - **Use screws with shafts**




Connections: Bolted joints (Fiberline recommendations)

■ Calculation of load bearing capacity of bolts

- Shear in longitudinal direction (0°)
- Shear in transverse direction (90°)
- Tensile force


■ Minimum distances



Connections: Bolted joints (Fiberline recommendations)

■ Joint capacity tables, available for shear and tension

Pin-bearing strength (P) in kN for direction of force 0° (longitudinal direction of profile)																			
Bolt	Load-bearing capacity per cut (kN)		Thickness of laminate in mm																
	1 cut	2 cuts	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
M 6	2,7	5,4	3,5	4,2	4,8	5,5	6,2	6,9	7,6	8,3	9,0	9,7	10,4	11,1	11,8	12,5	13,2	13,8	
M 8	4,8	9,5	4,6	5,5	6,5	7,4	8,3	9,2	10,2	11,1	12,0	12,9	13,8	14,8	15,7	16,6	17,5	18,5	
M 10	7,4	14,9	5,8	6,9	8,1	9,2	10,4	11,5	12,7	13,8	15,0	16,2	17,3	18,5	19,6	20,8	21,9	23,1	
M 12	10,7	21,4	6,9	8,3	9,7	11,1	12,5	13,8	15,2	16,6	18,0	19,4	20,8	22,2	23,5	24,9	26,3	27,7	
M 14	14,6	29,2	8,1	9,7	11,3	12,9	14,5	16,2	17,8	19,4	21,0	22,6	24,2	25,8	27,5	29,1	30,7	32,3	
M 16	19,0	38,1	9,2	11,1	12,9	14,8	16,6	18,5	20,3	22,2	24,0	25,8	27,7	29,5	31,4	33,2	35,1	36,9	
M 20	30	59	11,5	13,8	16,2	18,5	20,8	23,1	25,4	27,7	30,0	32,3	34,6	36,9	39,2	41,5	43,8	46,2	
M 22	36	72	12,7	15,2	17,8	20,3	22,8	25,4	27,9	30,5	33,0	35,5	38,1	40,6	43,2	45,7	48,2	50,8	
M 24	43	86	13,8	16,6	19,4	22,2	24,9	27,7	30,5	33,2	36,0	38,8	41,5	44,3	47,1	49,8	52,6	55,4	
M 27	54	109	15,6	18,7	21,8	24,9	28,0	31,2	34,3	37,4	40,5	43,6	46,7	49,8	53,0	56,1	59,2	62,3	
M 30	67	134	17,3	20,8	24,2	27,7	31,2	34,6	38,1	41,5	45,0	48,5	51,9	55,4	58,8	62,3	65,8	69,2	
M 36	96	193	20,8	24,9	29,1	33,2	37,4	41,5	45,7	49,8	54,0	58,2	62,3	66,5	70,6	74,8	78,9	83,1	
M 42	131	262	24,2	29,1	33,9	38,8	43,6	48,5	53,3	58,2	63,0	67,8	72,7	77,5	82,4	87,2	92,1	96,9	
M 48	171	343	27,7	33,2	38,8	44,3	49,8	55,4	60,9	66,5	72,0	77,5	83,1	88,6	94,2	99,7	105,2	110,8	



Design value of ultimate limit state

Safety class: normal

Bolt quality: A4

Washers under head and nut: $D = D_{\text{bolt}} \cdot 2$

Hole drilled in profile for bolt: $D = D_{\text{bolt}} + 1 \text{ mm}$

Shear in longitudinal direction 0°

$$P_{B,d} = \frac{d \cdot t \cdot 150 \text{ MPa}}{\gamma_m (=1.3)}$$

Shear in transverse direction 90°

$$P_{B,d} = \frac{d \cdot t \cdot 70 \text{ MPa}}{\gamma_m (=1.3)}$$


Connections: Bolted joints (Fiberline recommendations)

■ Bolted connection in shear: e.g. shear in longitudinal direction


Simplified model

The values are based on

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 3,5 \cdot d \\ b &= 1,0 \cdot d \\ c &= 2,0 \cdot d \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} f_{t,0^\circ} &= 240 \text{ MPa} \\ f_{c,0^\circ} &= 240 \text{ MPa} \\ f_{t,90^\circ} &= 50 \text{ MPa} \\ f_{c,90^\circ} &= 70 \text{ MPa} \\ f_v &= 25 \text{ MPa} \end{aligned}$$



Investigated failure modes



$$g_m \cdot P_{Bolt} \leq d \cdot t \cdot 720 \text{ MPa}$$

$$g_m \cdot P_{Bolt} \leq d \cdot t \cdot 240 \text{ MPa}$$

$$g_m \cdot P_{Bolt} \leq d \cdot t \cdot 240 \text{ MPa}$$

$$g_m \cdot P_{Bolt} \leq d \cdot t \cdot 150 \text{ MPa}$$

$$g_m \cdot P_{Bolt} \leq d \cdot t \cdot 240 \text{ MPa}$$

Connections: Bolted joints (Fiberline recommendations)

- Bolted connections in tension

- Static conditions

- **Bolt:** Tearing of bolt in threaded cross-section


$$P_d \leq \frac{A_s \cdot f_{yk}}{\gamma_m}$$

- **Laminate:** Shear fracture at rim of washer

$$P_d \leq \frac{2 \cdot d \cdot \pi \cdot t \cdot f_\tau}{\gamma_m}$$

- Geometry and strength:

d	...	Diameter of the bolt
A_s	...	Stress area of the bolt
t	...	Thickness of laminate
2d	...	Diameter of washer
f_{yk}	...	Tensile strength of bolt
f_τ	...	Shear strength of laminate



Connections: Bonded joints


- Using an adhesive agent for joining profiles can have **advantages**:
 - Easy to use / easy to make aesthetic joints
 - Typically **more rigid** than bolted joints
 - Glued joints subjected to **dynamic loads** are good
- **But be careful ...**
 - Adhesive agents have properties that **depend** on time, temperature, humidity ...
 - Failure in glued joints takes place **suddenly** (brittle behaviour)
 - The load-bearing **capacity** is not proportional to the area which is glued
- **The design of bonded joints may be based on:**
 - **Analytical** models for plate-to-plate connections (see Eurocomp 1996 Design Code)
 - Design **guidelines** supplemented by testing
 - **Finite element** analysis

Connections: Bonded joints

- **A bonded joint has the following three primary failure modes:**
 - adhesive failure
 - cohesive failure of adhesive
 - cohesive failure of adherend
- **The design of any bonded joint shall satisfy the following conditions:**
 - allowable shear stress in the adhesive is not exceeded.
 - allowable tensile (peel) stress in the adhesive is not exceeded.
 - allowable through-thickness tensile stress of the adhesive is not exceeded.
 - allowable in-plane shear stress of the adherend should not be exceeded.
- **The calculation of the stresses has to be done very carefully! Often calculations are supplemented by testing.**

Connections: Bonded joints

- **Different types of bonded joint configurations**



- **Research on bonded joints for structural applications**







Fig. 17. Failure sequence of double lap joint (DN 100.2/5), frame intervals 1/2000 s.

Connections: Bonded joints, fracture modes



**Displacement control,
1 mm/min, 5 Hz
Ambient conditions**

Shahverdi, M., "Mixed-mode static and fatigue failure criteria for adhesively-bonded FRP joints". PhD Thesis, EPFL, Switzerland, 2013.

Connections: Introduction

Table 5.2 Typical features of different connections between FRP members. ... from Eurocomp 1996 Design Manual (supplemented)

Mechanical connections	
Advantages	Disadvantages
• Requires no special surface preparation • Can be disassembled • Ease of inspection • Quasi ductile behaviour	• Low strength to stress concentrations • Special practices required in assembly; results in time consuming assembly • Fluid and weather tightness normally requires special gaskets or sealants • Corrosion of metallic fasteners
Bonded connections	
Advantages	Disadvantages
• High joint strength can be achieved • Low part count • Fluid and weather tightness • Potential corrosion problems are minimized • Smooth external surfaces • Stiffness	• Cannot be disassembled • Requires special surface preparation • Difficulty of inspection • Temperature and high humidity can affect joint strength • BRITTLE
Combined connections	
Advantages	Disadvantages
• Bolts provide support and pressure during assembly and curing • Growth of bondline defects is hindered by bolts	• Structurally bolts act as backup elements - in an intact joint, bolts carry no load

Connections: Introduction


Table 5.1 Characteristics of different joint categories. (from Eurocomp Design Code 1996)

	<i>Mechanical</i>	<i>Bonded</i>	<i>Combined</i>
Stress concentration at joint	high	medium	medium
Strength/weight ratio	low	medium	medium
Seal (water tightness)	no	yes	yes
Thermal insulation	no	yes	no
Electrical insulation	no	yes	no
Aesthetics (smooth joints)	bad	good	bad
Fatigue endurance	bad	good	good
Sensitive to peel loading	no	yes	no
Disassembly	possible	impossible	impossible
Inspection	easy	difficult	difficult
Heat or pressure required	no	yes/no ¹	yes/no ¹
Tooling costs	low	high	low
Time to develop full strength	immediate	long	long

¹ no if cold curing two-part adhesives are used in an appropriate environment

Connections: Other joints

- **Brackets for assembly (Fiberline)**



Brackets for efficient assembly of profile structures.



Example of joint with a Fiberline bracket.
EP patent No. 0819200

- **Custom pultruded connections**

GFRP: Some final remarks

- **Perpendicular to the direction of pultrusion, the material is WEAK and SOFT!**
→ avoid such loadings if possible
- **In order to use pultruded GFRP-profiles economically, the design must be done in a clever way!**
e.g.: for bridges, the railings should be used as part of the load-bearing structure
- **GFRP structures are very light** → vibration problems may occur
- **Where large stiffness is needed (where static height and deflections must remain very small)** → GFRP does not always lead to lighter structures than with steel.

Thank you for attention

any question?

