First observations of the fourth generation synthetic halocarbons HFO-1234yf, HFO-1234ze(E), and HCFO-1233zd(E) in the atmosphere

Martin K. Vollmer,* Stefan Reimann, Matthias Hill, and Dominik Brunner

Laboratory for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology, Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Dubendorf, Switzerland

E-mail: martin.vollmer@empa.ch



This is an update for the results published in ES&T 2015 update is to the end of 2020. Produced by Martin K. Vollmer, January 21, 2021. Please cite this as:

update to Vollmer, M. K., S. Reimann, M. Hill, D. Brunner, First observations of the fourth generation synthetic halocarbons HFC-1234yf, HFC-1234ze(E), and HCFC-1233zd(E) in the atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 2703-2708, doi: 10.1021/es505123x, 2015.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed

1) HFO data at Jungfraujoch and Dubendorf are produced under the auspices of CLIMGAS, a joint project of the Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN) and Empa.

2) While these compounds were named 'HFCs' in the original 2015 publication, we came to the decision to call them HFOs (hydrofluoroolefines) to better distinguish them as a seprate compound class compared to the saturated hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs).

3) In the 2015 publication, a distinction was made in Figure 1 between measurements of the HFOs below or above detection limits. However, the update here is now not including this distinction in the respective figure, all measurements (regardless of detection limits) are drawn in the same color for each of the two stations. Accordingly, the caption is adapted.

4) Starting with the update in 2018, all HFO-1234yf results are converted to the new METAS-2017 primary calibration scales (this results in a lowering of the mole fractions by 10% compared to a reporting on the old Empa-2013 calibration scale). HFO-1234zeE and HCFO-1233zdE remain on the Empa-2013 calibration scale (no other calibration scales prepared so far).

5) Cautionary note on the Dubendorf results for 2019 and 2020: The Dubendorf measurements are conducted with an instrument titled 'empa-medusa'. Empa-medusa was temporarily relocated from Dubendorf to the Beromunster (Swiss midlands) tall tower site from August 2019 to September 2020 as part of a measurement campaign. The Beromunster measurements of the HFOs (and most other compounds) yield significantly lower concentrations compared to Dubendorf due to its more remote character. This is reflected in the statistic for some of the compounds and years.

6) Interpretation, Results: Since the Jungfraujoch and Dubendorf measurements were

published in 2015, the 3 HFOs/HCFOs have continued to grow in the atmosphere, both in frequency of detectable mole fraction as well as on their intensities/magnitudes. Examples:

While HFO-1234yf at Jungfraujoch was detected in none of the 4150 samples in 2011, when measurements began, it was detected in 10% of all samples in 2015, in 30% in 2016, in 45% in 2017, in 71% in 2018, in 75% in 2019, and in 87% in 2020. Also, while the mean and median mole fractions in the first years remained at 0.002 ppt (dominated by measurements below detection limits), they increased to 0.15 ppt and 0.10 ppt by 2020, respectively.

HFO-1234zeE was detectable in 30% of all measured samples at Jungfraujoch in 2011, when measurements began, and has now grown to 95% by 2020. Its mole fraction has grown to 0.21 ppt (mean) and 0.14 ppt (median) by 2020.

HCFO-1233zdE, the longest-lived (ca 30 days) of all three HFOs reported on, can be detected in all samples since 2015 at both stations. It mole fraction is still considerably lower compared to that of the two HFOs (2020 yearly averages are 0.19 ppt (mean) and 0.16 ppt (median) at Jungfraujoch. Inspection of the magnitude and frequency of pollution events recorded at the two sites, suggests that this compound was not widely used in Switzerland before 2017.

Table 1: Measured dry-air mole fractions in ppt (parts-per-trillion, 10^{-12} , pmol mol⁻¹) of the hydrofluoroolefines HFO-1234yf and HFO-1234ze(E), and the hydrochlorofluoroolefines HCFO-1233zd(E) at the Jungfraujoch and Dubendorf stations.

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	years											HFC-1234vf
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												v
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.150 0.037	0.150	0.104	0.074	0.026	0.012	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0 ,
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00.00	00.00	1 1.10	10.12	10.00	20.21	0.00	1.20	0.00	0.01	0.00	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.560 1.416	1.560	2.188	2.970	2.275	1.035	0.358	0.126	0.033			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
										_		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.208 0.064	0.208	0.152	0.085	0.032	0.023	0.031	0.034	0.039	0.013	0.011	0 0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					4004							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	95.23 58.82	95.23	92.26	84.69	57.02	40.55	33.44	51.74	51.30	35.56	30.83	% detectable
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												Dubendorf
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.789 2.089	2.789	3.478	3.106	2.058	1.824	1.107	0.956	0.719	_	_	mean [ppt]
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	0.754 0.746	0.754	1.390	1.479	0.994	0.841	0.373	0.414	0.221			
HCFC-1233zd(E)	3236 21300	3236	2631	3280	2720	2014	2390	2555	2474			
	00.00 100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	99.96	100.00	100.00	_	_	% detectable
												HCFC-1233zd(E)
Jungfraujoch												Jungfraujoch
mean $[ppt]$ — — 0.003 0.007 0.019 0.032 0.052 0.090 0.132 0.189	0.189 0.067	0.189	0.132	0.090	0.052	0.032	0.019	0.007	0.003			mean [ppt]
median [ppt] 0.001 0.006 0.017 0.029 0.045 0.080 0.106 0.159	0.159 0.038	0.159	0.106	0.080	0.045	0.029	0.017	0.006	0.001			median [ppt]
numb of obs — — 3090 3674 3407 3625 3950 4169 4274 3159	3159 29348	3159	4274	4169	3950	3625	3407	3674	3090			numb of obs
% detectable — 47.64 63.26 97.59 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00	00.00 89.61	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	97.59	63.26	47.64			% detectable
Dubendorf												Dubendorf
		0.395	0.296	0.254	0.186	0.067	0.035	0.011	0.004	—		mean [ppt]
	0.288 0.102	0.288	0.249	0.201	0.122	0.054	0.029	0.009	0.001	—		
		3254	2651	3274	2751	2194	2354	2646	2497	—	—	numb of obs
% detectable — 46.02 78.38 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00	00.00 91.12	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	78.38	46.02			% detectable

Mean and median values include measurements with undetectable mole fractions. However instead of assigning those a value of zero, we have assigned them a value equal half of the detection limits. The detection limits (dl) are 0.003 ppt for HFO-1234yf and HFO-1234ze(E) each, and 0.001 ppt for HCFO-1234zd(E). Please read the cautionary note on the Dubendorf measurements for the years 2019 and 2020.



Figure 1: Atmospheric measurements of the hydrofluoroolefines HFO-1234yf (2,3,3,3-tetrafluoroprop-1-ene), and HFO-1234ze(E) (E-1,3,3,3-tetrafluoroprop-1-ene), and the hydrochlorofluoroolefines HCFO-1233zd(E) (E-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-ene) at Jungfraujoch (3'580 m.a.s.l., in orange) and urban Dubendorf (Zurich, Switzerland, in blue). Results are expressed as dry-air mole fractions in parts-per-trillion (ppt, pmol mol⁻¹). To expand the y-axes for better illustration of some of the smaller mole fractions, the results are omitted above the largest tick mark labels for each compound.

СЛ