UNI BASEL

Empa
Materials Science and Technology

SNI SWISS NANOSCIENCE INSTITUTE
EINE INITIATIVE DER UNIVERSITÄT BASEL UND DES KANTONS AARGAU

Molecular and carbon-based electronic systems

Lecture 7 - Insights in Density Functional Theory for molecular junctions



Landauer approach to electron transport

Electronic transport as scattering problem





$$G = \frac{2e^2}{h} T(E_F)$$

G = conductance

$T(E_F)$ = transmission at the Fermi energy

see Cuevas, Molecular Electronics, World Scientific

R. Landauer, IBM J. Res. Dev. 1, 223 (1957)

molecular energy levels

(isolated) molecule
quantum system with spectrum of discrete energy states (molecular orbitals)



⇨ 'Particle in a box': molecule is small box

box smaller ⇔ levels more spaced ($\delta E > k_B T$)

simple one-level model

Analytical expression for the current

$$I(E,V) = \frac{2e}{h} \int^{\infty} T(E,V)[f(E-\mu_2) - f(E-\mu_1)]dE$$

At low T , Fermi functions ≈ Heaviside step functions, and

$$I(V) = \frac{2e}{h} \int_{\frac{-eV}{2}}^{\frac{eV}{2}} T(E,V)dE$$

Using the expressions

$$T(E,V) = \frac{4\Gamma_1\Gamma_2}{(E - E_0(V))^2 + (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)^2}$$

$$E_F(V) = \frac{eV}{2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}$$

we can write

$$I(V) = \frac{8e}{h} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_L\Gamma_R}{\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R} \left[\arctan\left(\frac{2E_0 + eV\left(\frac{\Gamma_L - \Gamma_R}{\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R} + 1\right)}{2(\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R)} \right) - \arctan\left(\frac{2E_0 + eV\left(\frac{\Gamma_L - \Gamma_R}{\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R} - 1\right)}{2(\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R)} \right) \right]$$

3 fit parameters Γ_1, Γ_2, $E_0 = E_0(V)$

Overview

- Quantum chemistry (QC)
- Density functional theory (DFT)
- Non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF)





Overview

- Quantum chemistry (QC)
- Density functional theory (DFT)
- Non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF)





Schrödinger's Equation

- Molecule can be described using the Schrödinger Equation

Time-independent Schrödinger equation (*general*)

$$\hat{\mathrm{H}}\,\Psi = E\Psi$$

Schrödinger's Equation

- Molecule can be described using the Schrödinger Equation

Time-independent Schrödinger equation (*general*)

$$\hat{\mathrm{H}}\,\Psi = E\Psi$$

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e}\sum_i \nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{e^2}{|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}_j|} - \sum_{i,J}\frac{Z_J e^2}{|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{R}_J|} - \sum_I \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_I}\nabla_I^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{I\neq J}\frac{Z_I Z_J e^2}{|\boldsymbol{R}_I - \boldsymbol{R}_J|}$$

Very Complex many body Problem !!
(Because everything interacts)

Born-Oppenheimer approximation

- Electrons are much lighter, and faster
- Decoupling in the wave function

$$\Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{R}) \approx \Psi_e(\vec{r})\Psi_n(\vec{R})$$

- Nuclei described as constant external potential

Born-Oppenheimer approximation

- Electrons are much lighter, and faster
- Decoupling in the wave function

$$\Psi(\vec{\boldsymbol{r}}, \vec{\boldsymbol{R}}) \approx \Psi_e(\vec{\boldsymbol{r}})\Psi_n(\vec{\boldsymbol{R}})$$

- Nuclei described as constant external potential
- Still numerically intractable

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e}\sum_i \nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{e^2}{|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}_j|} - \sum_{i,J}\frac{Z_J e^2}{|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{R}_J|}$$

Quantum chemistry calculations

Electronic structure

- Numerical renormalization group
- Configuration interaction
- Hartree Fock
- Density functional theory
- Hückel method



Transport

- NEGF
- GW



Overview

- Quantum chemistry (QC)
- Density functional theory (DFT)
- Non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF)





DFT

- Density functional theory (DFT) is an **exact reformulation** of many-body quantum mechanics in terms of the **electron density** rather than the wave function

  →equivalent independent single-particle problem

DFT

- Density functional theory (DFT) is an **exact reformulation** of many-body quantum mechanics in terms of the **electron density** rather than the wave function
  →equivalent independent single-particle problem

- The wave-function is a unique functional of the density (**1^{e} Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, 1964**)

- All system properties are governed *only* by the ground-state density.

- Nobelprize in 1998!

DFT

- The density n(r) which minimizes E[n(r)] is the ground-state density, and minimization of this functional alone is enough to fully determine the exact ground-state energy and density $n_0(r)$. (**2^e Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, 1964)**

DFT

- The density n(r) which minimizes E[n(r)] is the ground-state density, and minimization of this functional alone is enough to fully determine the exact ground-state energy and density $n_0(r)$.
  (**2^e Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, 1964)**

$$E_{\mathrm{KS}} = T_s[n] + \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, V_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{r}) n(\boldsymbol{r}) + E_H[n] + E_{\mathrm{II}} + E_{\mathrm{xc}}[n]$$

DFT

- The density n(r) which minimizes E[n(r)] is the ground-state density, and minimization of this functional alone is enough to fully determine the exact ground-state energy and density $n_0(r)$.
  (**2e Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, 1964)**

$$E_{\mathrm{KS}} = T_s[n] + \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, V_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{r}) n(\boldsymbol{r}) + E_H[n] + E_{\mathrm{II}} + E_{\mathrm{xc}}[n]$$

Kinetic energy

Electron-nuclei interaction

Electron-electron interaction

Ion-Ion interaction

Exchange + correlations

$$E_H[n] = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \int \mathrm{d}^3 r' \, \frac{n(\boldsymbol{r}) n(\boldsymbol{r}')}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}'|}$$

Kohn-Sham equations

- But T_s and E_{XC} are unknown. How to find T_s ?

Kohn-Sham equations

- But T_s and E_{XC} are unknown. How to find T_s ?

- Kohn and Sham proposed to model electrons as non-interacting particles that generate the same density as the interacting particles (mean field, 1965)

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r})\right)\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$$

Exchange-correlation (XC)

- All we know about the functional E_{xc} is that it exists, however, its form is unknown.

Exchange-correlation (XC)

- All we know about the functional E_{xc} is that it exists, however, its form is unknown.

Local density approximation (LDA) : uniform electron gas: $E_{XC}[\rho]$

Generalized gradient approximation (GGA) : $E_{XC}(\rho, \nabla\rho)$

Hybrid (B3LYP) : including some HF for exchange

Dispersion/long-range corrected functionals

Exchange-correlation (XC)

- All we know about the functional E_{xc} is that it exists, however, its form is unknown.

Local density approximation (LDA) : uniform electron gas: $E_{XC}[\rho]$
Generalized gradient approximation (GGA) : $E_{XC}(\rho, \nabla\rho)$
Hybrid (B3LYP) : including some HF for exchange
Dispersion/long-range corrected functionals

→As the XC functional is not exact, electron exchange and correlations are not taken properly into account.

Basis set used to describe orbitals

- A **basis set** is a set of basis functions which are combined in linear combinations to create molecular orbital wave-functions.

Basis set used to describe orbitals

- A **basis set** is a set of basis functions which are combined in linear combinations to create molecular orbital wave-functions.

- Basis set can be any type of set of functions: atomic orbitals, plane waves, …



Convergence (SCF)

Guess wavefunction

Convergence (SCF)



Convergence (SCF)



Convergence (SCF)



DFT Results

- DFT provides the energy of the molecular orbitals
- DFT provides the wave-functions of the molecular orbitals



Molecular orbitals

- # electrons per cubic ångström
- Positive and negative part of the wave function

0.5



0.1



0.05



0.01

DFT Results

- DFT provides the energy of the molecular orbitals
- DFT provides the wave-functions of the molecular orbitals
- Molecular Orbitals are linear combinations of basis-set functions



DFT Results

- Orbitals are populated from the bottom (Aufbau principle)
- Each orbital can be occupied by at most two electrons (Pauli principle)
- HOMO/LUMO



Summary DFT

- Density functional theory is an **exact reformulation** of many-body quantum mechanics in terms of the density rather than the wave function
- DFT provides electron density and hence the wave function
- XC functional unknown : electron exchange and correlation effects are approximated
- The result is as good as the functional/basis-set you are using

Geometry optimization

- Look for lowest energy configuration
- Based on energy gradient



Geometry constraints

- Fix specific atoms or blocks of atoms

- Fix specific bond length, angles etc…



importance of **intermolecular interactions**

OPE: oligo (phenylene ethynilene)









molecules: *M. Mayor, Basel*

Geometry constraints



Geometry constraints





Perrin *et al.* Beilstein J. of Nanotech. 2015

Electric fields

Perrin *et al.* Nat. Nano 2014



- High bias is dominated by single barrier tunneling
- Experimental data can be fitted with 2-site model

Electric fields

- An electric field can be added



-1V/nm



0V/nm



1V/nm

Electric fields

- An electric field can be added
- Bias dependence transmission
- Useful to calculate IVs





Spin resolved calculations

- Spin up and down are treated separately
- Spin unbalance can be added
- Add charge to molecule



SCF tricks/speed-up - Symmetry



- Enforce symmetry in geometry optimization (reflection, rotation,…)
- Easier to converge
- Speeds up the calculations

SCF tricks/speed-up – Fragments

- Fragments are first converged separately
- Provides better guess for initial density

SCF tricks/speed-up – Fragments

- Fragments are first converged separately
- Provides better guess for initial density
- Allows for convergence which otherwise would be difficult/impossible
- Speeds up convergence



SCF tricks/speed-up – Frozen core

- Deep-core atomic orbitals change very little upon bond formation
- DFT can ‘freeze’ the core electrons of atoms

SCF tricks/speed-up – Frozen core

- Deep-core atomic orbitals change very little upon bond formation
- DFT can ‘freeze’ the core electrons of atoms
- Reduces the size of the variational basis set and speeds up calculations
- Core electrons taken into account when calculating energy

Overview

- Quantum chemistry (QC)
- Density functional theory (DFT)
- Non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF)





NEGF

For charge transport, time dependent Schrödinger equation needs to be solved

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \hat{H}\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)$$

NEGF

For charge transport, time dependent Schrödinger equation needs to be solved

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \hat{H}\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)$$

After a lot of math, the transmission is given by

$$T(\epsilon) = \mathrm{Tr}\left\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_L(\epsilon)\boldsymbol{G}^r(\epsilon)\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_R(\epsilon)\boldsymbol{G}^a(\epsilon)\right\}$$

G^r is the retarded Green's function

$$\boldsymbol{G}^r(\epsilon) = \left[\epsilon\boldsymbol{S} - \boldsymbol{H} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_L^r(\epsilon) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_R^r(\epsilon)\right]^{-1}$$

NEGF

$$\boldsymbol{G}^r(\epsilon) = \left[\epsilon \boldsymbol{S} - \boldsymbol{H} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_L^r(\epsilon) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_R^r(\epsilon)\right]^{-1}$$

ε → Energy incoming electron

S → Overlap matrix (DFT)

Overlap of basis-set functions (atomic orbitals).

If orthonormal basis, S is identity matrix

H → Fock matrix (DFT)

Eigenvalues: Orbital energies

Eigenvectors: Orbital shape, linear combination atomic orbitals

Σ → Self energy matrix (DFT/arbitrary)

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{L,R}^r(\epsilon) = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{L,R}(\epsilon) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{L,R}(\epsilon)$$

Wide-band limit

Wide-band limit (WBL) assumes self-energy is energy independent

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^r_{L,R} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{L,R} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{L,R}$$

and neglects the real part

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^r_{L,R} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{L,R}$$

Wide-band limit

Wide-band limit (WBL) assumes self-energy is energy independent

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^r_{L,R} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{L,R} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{L,R}$$

and neglects the real part

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^r_{L,R} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{L,R}$$

For gold this is a not-so-crazy assumption



Gas phase transmission

1. Take fock matrix from DFT (H)

2. Take overlap matrix from DFT (S)

3. Chose were to inject charge and construct $\Gamma_{R,L}$ accordingly.

4. Compute transmission

$$T(\epsilon) = \mathrm{Tr}\{\Gamma_L(\epsilon)G^r(\epsilon)\Gamma_R(\epsilon)G^a(\epsilon)\}$$



Neglects all electrodes/molecule interactions!

Gas phase transmission

1. Take fock matrix from DFT (H)

2. Take overlap matrix from DFT (S)

3. Chose were to inject charge and construct $\Gamma_{R,L}$ accordingly.

4. Compute transmission

$$T(\epsilon) = \mathrm{Tr}\{\Gamma_L(\epsilon)G^r(\epsilon)\Gamma_R(\epsilon)G^a(\epsilon)\}$$

Neglects all electrodes/molecule interactions!



Gas phase transmission

1. Take fock matrix from DFT (H)

2. Take overlap matrix from DFT (S)

3. Chose were to inject charge and construct $\Gamma_{R,L}$ accordingly.



4. Compute transmission

$$T(\epsilon) = \mathrm{Tr}\{\Gamma_L(\epsilon)G^r(\epsilon)\Gamma_R(\epsilon)G^a(\epsilon)\}$$

Neglects all electrodes/molecule interactions!

Gas phase transmission

1. Take fock matrix from DFT (H)

2. Take overlap matrix from DFT (S)

3. Chose were to inject charge and construct $\Gamma_{R,L}$ accordingly.

4. Compute transmission

$$T(\epsilon) = \mathrm{Tr}\left\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_L(\epsilon)\boldsymbol{G}^r(\epsilon)\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_R(\epsilon)\boldsymbol{G}^a(\epsilon)\right\}$$

Neglects all electrodes/molecule interactions!

Gas phase transmission





Gas phase transmission







Orbital decomposition - amplitude







HOMO-1



HOMO



LUMO



LUMO+1

Orbital decomposition - amplitude









Orbital decomposition - phase







Phase is angle between real and complex part of the transmission

π-phase shift at energy of corresponding orbital

Orbital decomposition - phase







Phase is angle between real and complex part of the transmission

Destructive interference occurs when amplitude is the same, but π-phase shift

Transport with gold electrodes

- Couple to atomic orbitals of gold of outermost layer instead of S atoms.





Transport with gold electrodes





With so many orbitals, how to identify relevant ones?

Transport with gold electrodes



Which 'gas phase' orbital is responsible for transport?



Orbital projections



Gas phase HOMO



hybridized → high conduction



Orbital projections



Gas phase LUMO



not hybridized → poor conduction



How does this compare to experiments?

Bandgap underestimated,
conductance overestimated



How does this compare to experiments?

Bandgap underestimated, conductance overestimated

1. DFT does not properly account for addition/removal of charge

2. Formation of image-charges in the electrodes upon addition/removal of charge not taken into account



DFT + Σ

- Correct HOMO and LUMO by performing a **calculation for ±1e**

  → increases bandgap a lot

DFT + Σ

- Correct HOMO and LUMO by performing a **calculation for ±1e**

  → increases bandgap a lot

- Correct for **image-charge formation** in electrodes (classical electrostatics)

  → reduces bandgap a bit



DFT + Σ

- Correct HOMO and LUMO by performing a **calculation for ±1e**

  → increases bandgap a lot

- Correct for **image-charge formation** in electrodes (classical electrostatics)

  → reduces bandgap a bit

- Shift for (un)occupied levels implemented as **scissor operator**

- Significantly improves agreement with experimental conductance values





Some examples…





Summary



- DFT provides electron density and hence the wave function
- NEGF gives you the electron transmission function through the system
- DFT+NEGF is very useful to understand experimental data
- Results should be interpreted with care