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Introduction to FSI

• Fluid-Structure Interaction (FSI) exists in natural systems, man-made structures, human body, etc.

• In nature, the interaction between a tree and wind is a typical FSI example.

• In engineered systems, typical FSI examples are:
  - sloshing liquid in moving tanks (liquid – solid interaction)
  - ocean waves deforming offshore platforms (water – solid interaction)

... and many others
Motivation
Aeroelasticity – an important FSI case

• The current presentation focuses in simulation and the respective experimental validation of aeroelastic phenomena

• Aeroelasticity refers to interaction of structures (e.g. aircraft, wind turbines, buildings, bridges, etc.) with air

• Aeroelasticity is important for determining the flight characteristics of aircraft, the aerodynamic efficiency of wind turbines, the safety of buildings...
FSI mechanism in static aeroelasticity

- In static aeroelasticity, interactions occur between aerodynamic and elastic forces.
- Aerodynamic loads are redistributed in wings or blades due to a significant deformation of the structures.

Aerodynamic wing loading before (black) and after (red) structural deformation: wing airfoil twists causing increase of angle of attack -> increase of aerodynamic forces.
FSI mechanism in dynamic aeroelasticity

• In dynamic aeroelasticity, in addition to aerodynamic and elastic forces, inertia forces also interact
• Flutter is one of the most important dynamic aeroelastic phenomena
Simulation
Aeroelastic simulation models

• In order to ensure the structural integrity of structures from aeroelastic viewpoint, validated simulations are required

• Computational simulation models should include all interacting fields:

  - **Flow field**: Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) models
    - Prediction of aerodynamic phenomena involved = \textit{loading to the solid media}

  - **Structural field**: Computational solid mechanics Models (Finite Elements)
    - Prediction of structural phenomena involved = \textit{solid deformation}
Numerical coupling in aeroelastic simulation models

- Coupling algorithms to ensure that the boundary conditions of both the solid and the fluid fields remain in contact after the solid displacement occurs.

Regional aircraft wing finite element / volume CFD-mesh and boundaries (GRETEL CS2 project)
Numerical coupling in aeroelastic simulation models

- Load transfer between dissimilar meshes
- Fluid mesh quality deterioration due to high deformation

Unacceptable mesh quality

FSI boundary mesh correctly set up, deformation rate small enough for proper mesh updating

FSI boundary mesh set up inadequate and deformation rate per iteration too high
MOTIVATE
Validation Protocol in FSI
MOTIVATE validation protocol \[1\]

1. MOTIVATE - Matrix Optimisation for Testing by Interaction of Virtual And Testing Environments
Specification of validation experiment: the challenge of wind tunnel testing

- Validation testing of aeroelastic simulations is commonly performed by well-established wind tunnel tests of scale models.
- Simultaneous scaling of aerodynamic, inertia and elastic magnitudes, via non-dimensional similarity parameters.
- Ensure that the inherent scaling does not cause significant discrepancies between the behavior observed in measurements and the real scale.

T-103 full a/c wind tunnel testing in Tsagi

ONERA- natural laminar flow test of a semi span wing at an ONERA wind tunnel
Selection of magnitudes to be validated

• In FSI:
  - ‘fit for purpose’ validation, i.e. only the magnitude of interest is validated (in aeroelastic analysis this would be displacement only), or
  - ‘most / all possible magnitudes involved in simulations’ are validated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow full-field data:</th>
<th>Solid full-field data:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• velocities</td>
<td>• displacements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• pressures</td>
<td>• strains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• temperatures</td>
<td>• forces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Balance between cost / time and simulation model deep understanding
• Experimental techniques are available for full-field measurement of most important magnitudes
Experimental techniques
Wind tunnel data measurements - structural field data (displacement / strain)

- Digital Image Correlation (DIC) is used for monitoring structural response data (displacements, strains)

Wind tunnel data measurements - structural field data (displacement / strain)

- Stereoscopic Pattern Recognition uses high-speed digital cameras in a stereoscopic arrangement to track 3D coordinates of markers (instead of speckles) applied to the structure.

- The tracking technique can be employed for wing deformation measurements of airplane models, as well as high-speed tracking of moving objects.

- Coordinate detection accuracy can approximately reach 0.5 \% of the chord length for wing displacement and 0.1 degree for wing twist (torsion)
Wind tunnel data measurements - aerodynamic field data (pressure / velocity)

• Pressure taps connected to pressure sensors by tubes are used for pressure measurement on the model surface
• A number of locations on the aerodynamic surfaces of interest can be measured, but only accounts for normal forces of the body
Wind tunnel data measurements - aerodynamic field data (pressure / velocity)

- Pressure sensitive paint (PSP) has the capability of providing full field measurements over the entire surface of a model.
- The pressure field is computed from the luminescence of the test article captured using digital cameras with accuracy of about 0.02 in pressure coefficient measurements.
Wind tunnel data measurements - aerodynamic field data (pressure / velocity)

- Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) is used to measure the flow field.
- PIV records the displacement and hence the air flow of very small tracer particles that are injected into the flow.
- Separate photos taken at ultra-short intervals (few microsecs) enabling measurements of the speed and direction of the particles.
- The advantage of PIV is that it measures the entire flow field instantaneously, including movements of vortices.

PIV non-intrusive flow measurement in ONERA wind tunnel
Wind tunnel data measurements - temperature field data

• Infra Red Thermography (IRT) is applied for detailed surface flow visualizations of aerodynamic phenomena such as laminar-turbulent boundary layer transition.

• Distinguish between locations with laminar and turbulent boundary layers, from differences in skin-friction and heat-transfer properties

IRT temperature measurements at a DLR wind tunnel
Data comparison techniques
Simulation and experimental data decomposition and comparison

- MOTIVATE validation protocol and CEN guideline (CWA16799:2014\(^1\)) recommend decomposition of data fields and comparison of the derived shape descriptors.

Simulation and experimental data decomposition and comparison

• In FSI it is suggested that an individual validation is performed at the level of each magnitude for all fields possible, i.e. both fluid (aerodynamic) and solid (structure) magnitudes.

• In dynamic aeroelasticity, a series of images (history) should be considered, for which decomposition techniques in 2D are available.

• At a preliminary level, volume magnitude data (velocities) can be treated as a series of 2D cross-sectional rectangular images, by application of:
  
  - data extrapolation in cavities in order to create rectangular shaped images
  - ‘cross sectional’ technique in order to overcome difficulties of decomposing complex 3D fields
Simulation and experimental data decomposition and comparison

Data extrapolation of velocity data in GRETEL airfoil cavity
Simulation and experimental data decomposition and comparison

Series of 2D cross-sections instead of 3D volume data - 
*Mach number results on cutout planes along the span for GRETEL wing*
Simulation and experimental data decomposition and comparison

Tip vortex captured on cutouts at the outlet region and at GRETEL wing’s root plane
Simulation and experimental data decomposition and comparison

GRETEL wing displacement plot due to static aeroelastic effects
Simulation and experimental data decomposition and comparison

Combination of different cross sectional data in one validation chart
(only 2 magnitudes are indicatively shown here)
... more charts for different magnitudes ...
Uncertainty types involved

• Experimental measurement uncertainty of the various magnitudes related to the structural and fluid fields (displacement, velocity, pressure)

• Uncertainties related to the length scaling, i.e. scale model tested in the wind tunnel instead of real scale structure

• Uncertainties arising by the different conditions achieved in wind tunnel with respect to the real flight conditions of in-flight experiments:
  - imperfections of the flow quality in the wind tunnel
  - constraints to the air flow by the tunnel walls and the model mounting structures
  - air temperature increase (air speed > 300km / h)
Conclusions

• The methodologies developed in MOTIVATE project are in principle applicable in validation of FSI simulations.

• The extensions / modifications required include:
  - decomposition of volume full-field data (also transient)
  - advancement of multi-field comparison techniques
  - methods for the assessment of model quality in multi-field

• Commonly agreed quantification of uncertainty techniques for the individual measurement methods are also required (example the MOTIVATE method for the case of DIC).

• Methodologies for uncertainty quantification for wind tunnel testing instead of real flight tests.
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